1

2

3

4

5

6   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7   DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8   * * *

)
9   HUSSEIN S. HUSSEIN,   )
)
10   Plaintiffs,   )   3:07-cv-0056-LRH-VPC
)
11   v.   )
)   ORDER
12   ADEL ERSEK; et al.,   )
)
13   Defendants.   )
)
14

15   Before the court is plaintiff Hussein S. Hussein's ("Hussein") objection to the magistrate

16   judge's order denying his motion for an order shortening time (Doc. #168[1]). Doc. 176. Defendants

17   filed a response (Doc. #181) to which Hussein replied (Doc. #185).

18   Local Rule IB 3-1 authorizes a district judge to reconsider any pretrial matter referred to a

19   magistrate judge pursuant to LR IB 1-3 where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is

20   clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

21   Here, Hussein objects to the magistrate's denial of his motion for an order shortening time

22   (Doc. #128) arguing that court did not properly appreciate the emergency nature of his motion for

23   sanctions (Doc. #127).

24   The court finds that Hussein has failed to show that the magistrate's order is either contrary

25

26
       _____
       [1] Refers to the court's docket entry number.

1   to law or clearly erroneous. The magistrate denied Hussein's motion as moot because the motion

2   for sanctions which Hussein's motion for an order shortening time referred to had already been

3   addressed. There was no need for the court to consider the emergency nature of Hussein's motion at

4   that time. Accordingly, the court shall affirm the magistrate judge's order.

5   IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's objection to the magistrate judge's order

6   (Doc. #176) is DENIED.

7   IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the magistrate judge's order denying plaintiff's motion

8   for sanctions (Doc. #168) is AFFIRMED.

9   IT IS SO ORDERED.

10   DATED this 7th day of April, 2010.

11

12   _____

13   LARRY R. HICKS
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26